

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

**SUBJECT:** (Optional) [ ] Complaint re Lack  
of Sediment Control Measures

**FROM:** [ ]  
C/NBPO/OL  
1J45 HQ

EXTENSION

NO.

DD/A Registry

P7-1124X

DATE

29 May 87

**TO:** (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S INITIALS

**COMMENTS** (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. EA/DDA  
7D18 HQ

01 JUN 1987

4/1

jm

Jim,

2.

Attached is a copy of a MFR regarding a complaint made by a security monitor about lack of control on the construction site of mud and silt run-off. In the last two months, there was a paragraph in the D/OL's weekly to the DDA on this issue. I believe we have satisfied the complaint and will continue to ensure that we have reasonable control measures. You might want to bring this to the DDA's attention. Because this matter came to my attention via [ ], I have sent him a copy of the MFR.

3.

ADDA

JA

4.

5.

DDA

1987

W

6.

7.

File

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

Ron

Attachment

29 May 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

STAT

SUBJECT:  Complaint re Lack of Sediment Control Measures

1. On 2 April 1987, the IG informed me that Frank had called him and complained that the New Headquarters Building (NHB) contractor was not providing adequate erosion and sediment control measures. I contacted Frank on 2 April at his home to determine the nature of his concerns. Frank lives on the Virginia side of the Potomac River Bridge (Rt. 301) and is active in the effort to clean up and save the Chesapeake Bay. He believes that our lack of control measures permits silt and mud from the construction site to run off into the Bay via the Potomac River.

2. Frank stated that he had discussed the issue with Art Carlucci, the GSA Project Manager, who was not responsive. Frank also talked to a Fairfax County official responsible for environmental matters; he indicated he had no jurisdiction on Federal property, but could offer guidance. Apparently he attempted to contact Carlucci via telephone to offer assistance, but according to Frank, Carlucci never returned his telephone call. I advised Frank that I would look into the matter and get back to him. Immediately following my discussion with Frank, I called the D/L and provided him all the details. I also included a paragraph on the issue in my OL/NBPO Weekly Report for the period ending 8 April 1987.

3. On 3 April, I discussed the matter with Carlucci and asked him to determine what laws/regulations apply to our construction site, what control measures are included in the construction contract, what action had been taken to implement contract requirements, and what additional actions would be taken to ensure compliance. When I had received no response from Carlucci by 21 April, I followed up with a memorandum which asked for the pertinent information and threatened to bring in outside assistance if he could not provide it. On 6 May, Carlucci responded via memorandum. It indicated that as of 4 May, Centex had taken action to provide filtering material around all curb inlets and field inlets to prevent silt from entering the storm water system. The memorandum also indicated that Carlucci had appointed a member of his staff to act as his special representative for environmental matters.

4. On 7 May, Centex provided Carlucci a memorandum which outlined action they had taken/would take to improve water run-off control measures. It included the appointment of an Environmental Control Representative with full authority for enforcing their environmental protection program. My deputy has inspected the construction site and

noted recent action by Centex to improve our water run-off/silt control measures. And, he has recommended that additional measures be taken, particularly in the area of the large dirt pile in the northwest corner of the compound. I also inspected the site and noted what obviously are new Centex initiatives with respect to control measures. And, I have directed my deputy to continue to monitor Centex' efforts in this regard.

5. On 28 May, I invited Frank to my office to discuss the action I have taken. I also had my deputy accompany Frank on a tour of the compound (with emphasis on the construction site) to inspect the water run-off control measures. After the tour, I invited Frank back to my office to discuss his impression of the progress or lack thereof. Clearly, Frank was pleased with the new initiatives he observed during his tour. He did make a few suggestions for additional improvements; most were included in the additional measures indentified earlier by my deputy. Frank also expressed an interest in inspecting areas immediately outside the compound where our drainage systems terminate to determine if mud is being deposited there. I told Frank that I had no objection, but emphasized that he should coordinate with the Security Duty Officer prior to entering areas just outside the fence line of the Agency. He assured me that he would do so. Further, he indicated that he would brief me on his findings.

6. In summary, Frank's initial observations were accurate. Many of the soil erosion and silt run-off control measures that were employed earlier within the construction site had deteriorated and were ineffective. In reaction to Frank's complaint, I believe we have made significant progress in restoring effective control measures and will continue to improve the situation. We now have focal point officers designated within the GSA on-site project management team and within the prime contractor, Centex. And, NBPO will continue to press both to pay more attention to this important area. Finally, if all this fails, I am confident that Frank will continue to look over our shoulder to ensure that we do not let up.

STAT

cc: D/OL  
IG  
EA/DDA

STAT

OL/NBPO [redacted]:29May87  
Orig - File  
1 - OL/NBPO Chrono